UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,376	10/518,376 12/28/2004 Hideto Kobayashi		P26451	2854	
	7590 03/19/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0	EXAMINER			
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE	TOPGYAL, GELEK W			
RESTON, VA 2	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Office Action Communication		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/518,376		KOBAYASHI, HIDETO				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			GELEK TOP	3YAL	2621			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, I apply and will exeause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>28 Dec</i>	cember 2004	1				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
· _		annlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or e	election real	uirement				
		Stiorr aria/or c	cicollon requ	moment.				
Application	on Papers							
	Γhe specification is objected to by th							
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) • No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	=	ate			

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation function." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronic Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held statutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 12 defines a *conversion* program embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e.,

Art Unit: 2621

"When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed conversion program can range from a paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggest amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klemets et al. (US 7,451,229).
- 5. **Regarding claims 1, 5, 11 and 12,** Klemets et al. teaches an AV data conversion method/apparatus/computer readable medium comprising:

a verification file confirmation means for reading an AV data file containing AV data including video information and audio information (col. 6, lines 24-54 teaches the

generation of streaming media files in different formats and also to include a plurality of different metadata to be included in a header. This means is implemented when a user requests a particular stream as discussed in col. 7, lines 24-62), and a verification file for the AV data file, and confirming if the AV data file and verification file mutually correspond (col. 6, lines 24-54 teaches the generation of streaming media files in different formats and also to include a plurality of different metadata to be included in a header);

a plurality of stream conversion means for changing the AV data to a specific format (col. 7, lines 24-62 teaches of a plurality of streams available to be broadcast); and

a conversion method determining means for selecting from among the plural stream conversion means at least one stream conversion means for changing the AV data to the specific format based on verification file content (col. 8, lines 15-47 teaches that once a stream format is chosen by a user (RTSP SETUP request), the specific stream (including "content description") is transmitted to the user. It is to be noted that the encoder's operation can be in real-time and therefore the conversion takes place (col. 6, lines 50-54)).

As to claims 11 and 12, Klemets et al. teaches in col. 13, lines 56+ of computer storage media that includes computer executable instructions for performing the functions of a server.

Regarding claim 2, Klemets et al. teaches the claimed wherein the verification file contains AV data attributes from when the AV data file was created (col. 6, lines 24-

Art Unit: 2621

54 teaches of creating metadata when the streaming media files are created); wherein the verification file confirmation means comprises: an AV data attribute extraction means for extracting AV data attributes from the read AV data file; and a comparison means for comparing the AV data attributes extracted by the AV data attribute extraction means with the AV data attributes contained in the verification file (as discussed in claims 1, 5, 11 and 12 above, when a user requests a particular stream, the server receives the request (RTSP SETUP request). Then in col. 8, lines 30-34 the server sends the requested stream to the user); and wherein the stream conversion means converts the AV data to the specified format only when the comparison means confirms an attribute match (as discussed in claims 1, 5, 11 and 12 above).

Regarding claim 3, Klemets et al. teaches the claimed as discussed in claims 1, 5, 11 and 12 above since the metadata can include data in the form of "Titles" or "Authors" which identifies a vendor.

Regarding claim 4, Klemets et al. teaches in col. 7, lines 1-14 of the ability to generate a new/updated metadata meets the claimed ability to generate a verification file when it is not presently readable (since the server determines that it shouldn't be used anymore).

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 2 and 4 above.

Claims 8, 10, 13-15 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 3 above.

Art Unit: 2621

Independent claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the claims 1, 2 and 4 above.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art teaches devices that allows for conversion of streams based a certain input selection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GELEK TOPGYAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621

/Gelek Topgyal/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/JAMIE JO VENT ATALA/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621